PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2004)

Agency: Department of Health	LO NOT use for sum a discussion		
1	Do NOT use for expedited rule making	ng	
Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR			
Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR	; or $ o$ Original Notice		
Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4).			
Title of rule and other identification is	1 C(* ********		
Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Subject Adoption of national standards for sources of radionalide size	t) Padiation Protection 4: Fig.		
Adoption of national standards for sources of radionuclide air emi	ssions.		
·			
Honorina Lander (1)			
Hearing location(s):	Submit written comments to:		
Washington State Department of Health	Name: A. W. Conklin		
Town Center East, Room 363 101 Israel Road SE	Address: WDOH Office of Radiation Protection		
	P.O. Box 47827, Olympia, WA 98504-7827		
Tumwater, WA 98501	e-mail http://www3.doh.wa.gov/policyreview/		
	fax (360) <u>236-2255</u> by (date) <u>May 10, 2005</u>		
Date: May 10, 2005 Time: 10:00	(cos) <u>250 2255</u> by (date) <u>way 10, 2005</u>		
10.00 May 10, 2005 Tille. 10.00	Assistance for persons with disability		
	Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact		
Date of intended adoption: May 15, 2005	Joy Redman_ by (360) 236-3260		
(Note: This is NOT the effective date)	TTY (888)833-6388 or ()		
Purpose of the proposal and the artists and	111 (000) <u>833-0388</u> OF ()	٠.	
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including a section (WAC 246-247-035) which adopts by reference, without	ny changes in existing rules. This revision is to add a new		
section (WAC 246-247-035) which adopts by reference, without contained in 40CFR61 Subparts A. B. H. I. K. O. R. T. and W.	t material change, the federal standards for redientalide and		
contained in 40CFR61 Subparts A, B, H, I, K, Q, R, T, and W. equivalent to the federal rules and allows delegation of the English	The effect from this action makes Westign	ions	
	ronmental Protection Assertation	ış	
of radionuclides to the Department of Health.	rounding rotection Agency's authority over airborne emission	ons	
·	•		
Reasons supporting proposal: Delegation of Notional Emissi			
Reasons supporting proposal: Delegation of National Emissic	ons Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs)		
Reasons supporting proposal: Delegation of National Emissic authority from the EPA to the Department of Health reduc	ecine notantial for disal 1 cc		
facilities that are currently under applicable state and feder	ecine notantial for disal 1 cc		
	es the potential for dual regulation of federal and private al rules.	•	
facilities that are currently under applicable state and feder	ecine notantial for disal 1 cc	-	
facilities that are currently under applicable state and feder Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 70.98.050	es the potential for dual regulation of federal and private al rules.		
facilities that are currently under applicable state and feder Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 70.98.050	es the potential for dual regulation of federal and private al rules. Statute being implemented: RCW 70.98.050		
facilities that are currently under applicable state and feder Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 70.98.050 Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law?	es the potential for dual regulation of federal and private al rules.		
facilities that are currently under applicable state and feder Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 70.98.050 Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? Yes No	es the potential for dual regulation of federal and private al rules. Statute being implemented: RCW 70.98.050		
facilities that are currently under applicable state and feder Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 70.98.050 Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? Yes No	sthe potential for dual regulation of federal and private al rules. Statute being implemented: RCW 70.98.050 CODE REVISER USE ONLY		
facilities that are currently under applicable state and feder Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 70.98.050 Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION: Yes No	state potential for dual regulation of federal and private al rules. Statute being implemented: RCW 70.98.050 CODE REVISER USE ONLY		
facilities that are currently under applicable state and feder Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 70.98.050 Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? Yes No	Statute being implemented: RCW 70.98.050 CODE REVISER USE ONLY CODE REVISER'S OFFICE STATE OF WAS SHIRED.		
facilities that are currently under applicable state and feder Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 70.98.050 Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION: Yes No	sthe potential for dual regulation of federal and private al rules. Statute being implemented: RCW 70.98.050 CODE REVISER USE ONLY		
facilities that are currently under applicable state and feder Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 70.98.050 Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? Yes No If yes, CITATION: Yes No 40CFR63 subpart E	Statute being implemented: RCW 70.98.050 CODE REVISER USE ONLY CODE REVISER'S OFFICE STATE OF WAS SHIRED.		
facilities that are currently under applicable state and feder Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 70.98.050 Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? Yes No If yes, CITATION: Yes No 40CFR63 subpart E	code Reviser's Office STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED		
facilities that are currently under applicable state and feder Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 70.98.050 Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION: WYES No HOCFR63 subpart E DATE State Decision State Subpart S	code Reviser's Office STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED		
facilities that are currently under applicable state and feder Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 70.98.050 Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? Yes No If yes, CITATION: Yes No HOCFR63 subpart E DATE JAP 105 NAME (type or print) Mary Selecky	Statute being implemented: RCW 70.98.050 CODE REVISER USE ONLY CODE REVISER'S OFFICE STATE OF WAS SHIRED.		
facilities that are currently under applicable state and feder Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 70.98.050 Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION: WAMPE (type or print)	CODE REVISER USE ONLY CODE REVISER'S OFFICE STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED MAR 2 9 2005		
facilities that are currently under applicable state and feder Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 70.98.050 Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? If yes, CITATION: 40CFR63 subpart E DATE SIGNATE SIGNATURE SIGNATURE STATE SIGNATURE	Statute being implemented: RCW 70.98.050 CODE REVISER USE ONLY CODE REVISER'S OFFICE STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED MAR 2 9 2005		
facilities that are currently under applicable state and feder Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 70.98.050 Is rule necessary because of a: Federal Law? Federal Court Decision? State Court Decision? Yes No If yes, CITATION: Yes No HOCFR63 subpart E DATE JAP 105 NAME (type or print) Mary Selecky	CODE REVISER USE ONLY CODE REVISER'S OFFICE STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED MAR 2 9 2005		

(COMPLETE REVERSE SIDE)

Agency co matters:	mments or recommendations, if a	ny, as to statutory language, im	plementation, enforcer	nent, and fiscal	
				-	
Name of n	Concension (nousen en e	. 1:			
Maine of pr	roponent: (person or organization) V	/ashington State Department of Healt	h	☐ Private ☐ Public	
				☐ Fublic ☐ Governmental	
Name of ag	gency personnel responsible for:				
Deaffin	Name	Office Location		Phone	
	John Schmidt	Richland	·	(509)946-3874	
	on Allen Conklin	Olympia		(360)236-3261	
	Allen Conklin	Olympia		(360)236-3261	
Has a smal	I business economic impact state	ment been prepared under chap	ter 19.85 RCW?		
☐ Yes.	Attach copy of small business econd	omic impact statement.		•	
-	A copy of the statement may be obta	ined by contacting:			
	Name:	,			
	Address:				
•	phone ()				
	fax () e-mail				
			•		
⊠ No. I This rule is	Explain why no statement was prepa exempt from the requirements of RC	red. W 10 85 nursuant to PCW 10 85 /	25/2) Everthou thous one		
This rule is exempt from the requirements of RCW 19.85 pursuant to RCW 19.85.25(3). Further, there are no small businesses within the regulated industry required to comply with the proposed rule and thus there is no disproportionate					
impact on s	mall businesses.				
			+		
Is a cost-be	enefit analysis required under RCV	V 34.05.328?			
☐ Yes	• •		·	•	
<u> </u>	A preliminary cost-benefit analysis Name:	may be obtained by contacting:			
-	Address:				
	phone ()				
	fax ()				
	e-mail				
⊠ No:	Please explain: This rule meets the	exception provided in RCW 34.05	5.328(5)(b)(iii) in that it a	adopts federal	
regulations	without material change. However, a ministration and enforcement reducing	doption by reference will allow do	elegation of the applicab	le subparts to the	
voi dell	and omoreomont requesti	6 anai regulation cost.			
				•	

NEW SECTION

WAC 246-247-035 National standards adopted by reference for sources of radionuclide emissions. (1) The following federal standards, as in effect on July 1, 2004, are adopted by reference except as provided in subsections (2) and (3) of this section.

These standards apply in addition to other requirements of

this chapter.

(a) For federal facilities:

(i) 40 CFR Part 61, Subpart A - General Provisions.

- (ii) 40 CFR Part 61, Subpart H National Emission Standards for Emissions of Radionuclides Other Than Radon From Department of Energy Facilities.
- (iii) 40 CFR Part 61, Subpart I National Emission Standards for Radionuclide Emissions From Federal Facilities Other Than Nuclear Regulatory Commission Licensees and Not Covered by Subpart H.
- (iv) 40 CFR Part 61, Subpart Q National Emission Standards for Radon Emissions From Department of Energy Facilities.

(b) For nonfederal facilities:

(i) 40 CFR Part 61, Subpart A - General Provisions.

(ii) 40 CFR Part 61, Subpart B - National Emission Standards for Radon Emissions From Underground Uranium Mines.

(iii) 40 CFR Part 61, Subpart K - National Emission Standards for Radionuclide Emissions From Elemental Phosphorus Plants.

(iv) 40 CFR Part 61, Subpart R - National Emissions Standards for Radon from Phosphogypsum Stacks.

(v) 40 CFR Part 61, Subpart T - National Emission Standards for Radon Emissions From the Disposal of Uranium Mill Tailings.

(vi) 40 CFR Part 61, Subpart W - National Emission Standards

for Radon Emissions From Operating Mill Tailings.

- (2) References to "Administrator" or "EPA" in 40 CFR Part 61 include the department of health except in any section of 40 CFR Part 61 for which a federal rule or delegation indicates that the authority will not be delegated to the state.
- (3) Any change or alternative to standards, emission monitoring and test procedures, compliance and reporting requirements, or recordkeeping requirements must be approved by EPA.

	• •
	•
	•
	•
	<i>2</i>
	•
	•
	•
•	
	•
	·
	•
	•